Approved For Release 2001/08/28 : C/A-RDP57-00384R000100030008-2

DAFT

SENCRALUM FOR THE DIRECTOR OF COMPRAL DESILLEGACE
SINJECT: Crisis Situations

- net on December 12 and approved of the Intelligence Advisory Countities met on December 12 and approved of the enclosed emendment to MSCID No. 1, which is account different from General Irvin's original proposal in that it now provides for crisis information being promptly furnished "other members of the IAC." The CIA members reiterated their position cutlined in the memoranda of November 10 and November 30 that this proposal about Grisis Situations should be a DCI Directive in accordance with NSCID No. 1, paragraph 3(c) as it is merely an extension of the duties of the Director of Control Intelligence outlined in the Sational Security Act of 1947 as emended, MSCID No. 1, MSCID No. 3, and DCI Directives 3/1 and 3/2. The State Department member stated he did not care whether it is an emendment to MSCID No. 1 or a new SCI.
- 2. CORPS does not approve of putting such an additional detailed paragraph in the overall MECID So. I when it already contains paragraph 3(c) on issuing implementing DCI directives, Paragraph 4 on general production and Paragraph 5 on dissemination, and when there are in existence, implementing that Paragraph 4, MECID So. 3 and DCI 3/1 and 3/2. We maintain that the Director of Central Intelligence already has the responsibility of producing such a national estimate whether or not it is a crisis situation, showing, as is customary, the concurrences or non-concurrences of the Intelligence Advisory Committee members. Their primary responsibility is to get the

Approved For Release 2001/08/28 : CIA-RDP57-00384R000100030008-2

TOP SECRET

TOP SECRET Approved For Release 2001/08/28 : CIA-RDP57-00384R000100030008-2

information over to the Director of Central Intelligence and then to concer or dissent in the National Estimate.

- 3. The Standing Committee members feel that in crisis situations all of the Intelligence Advisory Committee members should get the information and give estimates of the situation to the Director of Central Intelligence, not just ordinary concurrences or non-concurrences to his estimate. And they prefer collective rather than individual ection.
- except Army and Air Force had previously told us they preferred the DCI direction but that at the meeting they all voted for the emendment to the MECID, maintaining that it would have greater weight with all elements of the Prestagen than a DCI directive because it would have been issued by the MEC on which the Secretary of Defence is a member. They would not accept the procedure outlined in paragraph 3(c) of MECID No. 1. The approved amendment, of course, plays up the Intelligence Advisory Conmittee and plays down the responsibilities of the DCI as usual whereas our draft was vice versa. In this instance it is assumed that the IAC Members themselves will support the Standing Committee proposal contrary to their usual procedure!!
- 5. We recommend that you submit to Mr. Scuars for his views your proposal for a DCI scamentat similar to the Bovember 30 revision (enclosed herewith) and also the enclosed Standing Committee version of an additional puregraph to MBCID No. 1, stating that you think it is more appropriate to have an implementing DCI rather than an encomment to MBCID No. 1 on this specific subject, but that the IAC Numbers prefer the latter.

TOP SECRET Approved For Release 2001/08/28 : CIA-RDP57-00364R000100030008-2

6. We do not like "show-downs" but we do feel strongly that the time has come to curb the growing tendency of collective IAC responsibility as the controllers of CIA. And we do think their proposal for an RACID assessment is incorrect procedure under the standing directives. If they will not accept ICI directives, all operating as well as policy matters will have to go to the ERC for issuence.

PRESCOTT CHILDS, Chief Coordination, Operations and Policy Staff

inclusure:

Proposed ICI dentin